

No. of studies	Study design	Sample size	Effect size (95% CI)	Absolute risk (control)	Absolute risk (intervention)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality
<b>Local Authority 1 (RR &gt;1 favours all young people attending vaccination session)</b>										
1 (Fisher 2020b)	Uncontrolled before-after study	4384	RR 1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	80 per 100	82 per 100 (80, 85)	Very serious <sup>3</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	Very low
<b>Local Authority 2 (RR &gt;1 favours all young people attending vaccination session)</b>										
1 (Fisher 2020b)	Uncontrolled before-after study	2602	RR 0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	85 per 100	86 per 100 (84, 88)	Very serious <sup>3</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	Very low
<b>Pooled Local Authority data (RR &gt;1 favours all young people attending vaccination session)</b>										
1 (Fisher 2020b)	Uncontrolled before-after study	6986	RR 1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	85 per 100	86 per 100 (84, 88)	Very serious <sup>3</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	Very low
<p>1. Single study. Inconsistency not applicable.</p> <p>2. Confidence intervals crossed the line of no effect. Quality of the outcome downgraded once</p> <p>3. Single study at high risk of bias. Quality of the outcome downgraded twice.</p>										