No. of studies	Study design	Sample size	Effect size (95% CI)	Absolute risk (control)	Absolute risk (intervention )	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality
Vaccine uptake (RR >1 favours e-consent form)										
1 (Chantler 2020)	Non- randomised cluster	3219	RR 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	81 per 100	80 per 100 (78, 83)	Very serious	N/A³	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	Very low
Single study at critical risk of bias. Quality of the outcome downgraded twice										

2. Confidence intervals crossed the line of no effect. Quality of the outcome downgraded once

Vaccine uptake in the general population: evidence review for the acceptability and effectiveness of named interventions to increase routine vaccine uptake FINAL (May 2022)

No. of Study Sample size CI)  Effect Size Absolute risk (intervention Studies design Size CI)  Absolute risk (intervention bias Inconsistency Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality
--	-------------	---------

<sup>3.</sup> Single study. Inconsistency not applicable